# LAVENHAM PARISH COUNCIL

To: Members of Lavenham Parish Council

You are duly summoned to attend the next meeting of Lavenham Parish Council to be held at 7.30 pm on Thursday 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2023 at Lavenham Village Hall, Church Street, Lavenham.

# **Public Attendance**

Members of the public and press are welcome to attend. At item 5 the public will be invited to give their views/question the Parish Council on issues on the agenda, or raise issues for consideration of inclusion at future meetings. This item will generally be limited to 15 mins. duration.

#### **AGENDA**

- 1. Apologies and approval of absences
- 2. Declarations of Interest
- 3. To consider requests for dispensations
- 4. To approve as accurate minutes of the 6th July 2023 meeting of the Council
- 5. Public participation session (15 minutes)
- 6. Local Authority Councillors' Reports
- 7. Chairman's Announcements
- 8. Development Plan as far as April 2027.
- 9. Proposal to approve Small Heritage Fund Raising

Motion: Council is asked to approve the Scheme Rules for a Small Heritage Fund.

10. Proposal to replace the Kissing Gate

Motion: Council is asked to accept the preferred quotation B.

11. Proposal to renovate the two listed telephone boxes.

Motion: Council is asked to issue invitations to quote for their renovation

# 12. Planning

To receive a report and recommendations from the Planning Group

# 13. Clerk/RFO Report

- 13.a Motion: to approve Accounts for the month ended 30 June 2023.
- 13.b Motion to approve Receipts and Payments for the month ended 30 June 2023.

Updates: Councillor Vacancies, Market Place Bins, meeting with Street Cleaning and Grounds Maintenance contractor, Fire Safety and Complaints.

# 14. Date of next meeting – Thursday 7th September 2023

- **15.** To consider excluding the public and press (for item 16) To consider excluding the public and press for item 16 because of the confidential nature of the material to be discussed.
- **16.** Part 2 Closed Session of the Council Public will be asked to leave the meeting

Andrew Smith
Clerk to the Council
Parish Office
Church St

Lavenham

Date: 27<sup>th</sup> July 2023

#### **PARISH COUNCIL MEETING**

Held on Thursday 6th¹ July 2023, commencing 7.30 pm. in the Village Hall. Full reports and supporting documents can be found on the Parish Council website under Meetings, July 2023 Meeting Pack. Paper copies are also available.

#### Present:

Cllrs Alison Bourne, Frank Domoney, Lizzie Falconer, Iain Lamont, Mary Morrey, Janice Muckian, Irene Mitchell and Jane Ranzetta

Babergh District Cllrs Margaret Maybury, Paul Clover. County Councillor: Robert Lindsay Ten members of the public.

# 1. Apologies and approval of absences

Apologies received from Cllr Chick. Absence has been explained and is approved.

# Proposed by Cllr Falconer seconded by Cllr Muckian, carried.

#### 2. Declarations of Interest

Cllr Muckian declared an interest in Application for Planning Permission DC/23/02493 – The Bays, Bears Lane, Lavenham. Cllr Muckian agreed not to speak or vote on the Application but was permitted to remain in the room.

#### 3. Requests for Dispensation

The Clerk reported that dispensation requests had been received and granted as follows:

- a) Cllr Mitchell as documented in the minutes of the June 1 meeting.
- b) Cllrs Chick, Falconer, Lamont, Morrey, Ranzetta had all been granted dispensations for the period up to the Council's Annual Meeting in 2024 to speak and vote on matters with respect to Water St unless they specifically relate to their Disposable Pecuniary Interests.
- c) Cllr Muckian had been granted a dispensation for the period up to the Council's Annual Meeting in 2024 to speak and vote on matters with respect to High St unless they specifically relate to her Disposable Pecuniary Interest.

#### 4. To approve as accurate minutes of the last meeting of the Council

Cllr Morrey proposed, seconded by Cllr Ranzetta.

Decision: Minutes of 1<sup>st</sup> June 2023 were approved with no votes against or abstentions.

# 5. Public participation session

A Member of the Public drew the Councils attention to the dilapidated nature of the Meadow Close play area commenting that the equipment needs repair and cleaning, that the grass is cut insufficiently regularly and that the fencing is inadequate.

The Chair responded that it was agreed that the playground was the responsibility of Babergh DC but that she would welcome the opportunity to discuss the matter further, outside the meeting, with the Member of the Public.

A Member of the Public referred to the draft Neighbourhood Plan and how it will shape the development of the village until 2037 and beyond explaining that in his opinion a summary should have been sent to every household. He explained that he was particularly concerned that the omission of the land to the west of Park Road as an ALLS (an Area of Local Landscape Sensitivity) would give encouragement to the development of high value homes on Park Road.

A Member of the Public spoke in support of the application for Planning Permission for an extension of 'Carramore' a house on Sudbury Road. Two members of the Public spoke of their concerns with respect to the loss of light to neighbouring properties and possible damage during construction or later house maintenance to the nearby Heritage wall.

A Member of the Public enquired as to progress with the 20mph scheme and whether signs will need to be put up. The Chair responded that the latest plans for the scheme were being reviewed and that signs will be required with consideration given to the appropriate design in a conservation area.

The Chair then referred to the concerns raised by the Member of the Public in respect of the Neighbourhood Plan explaining that there had been considerable correspondence with the Member of the Public about his views whilst the Plan was being drafted which had not led to Council considering that the plan needed, in these regards, revising. The Neighbourhood Plan is now in the Regulation 16 Consultation period and the Chair urged all those who have concerns to use the prescribed objection mechanisms.

# 6. Local Authority Councillors' Reports

#### 6a Received

An oral report from County Cllr Robert Lindsay about the results of the Traffic Survey carried out following the removal of the priority signs on Water St.

#### Noted:

The trial showed an increase in conflicts i.e queues and vehicles having to reverse. The trial unfortunately occurred during a diversion period which significantly increased traffic on Water St. The Survey also showed that a large proportion of the 'conflicts' were due to parked cars which would imply that the 'conflicts' are not related to whether there are or are not signs. Cllr Lindsay concluded by suggesting that if removal of the signs is not making a significant difference, then removal is worth doing for aesthetic and economic reasons since making the temporary buildouts permanent will be much cheaper without signs.

#### Discussion:

Cllr Falconer: Commented that the removal of the signs has not improved anything, speeding traffic remains a concern as is the quantity of lorries going in both directions.

Cllr Ranzetta: Emphasised that lorries are the main issue causing damage to houses and that the build outs were an attempt to protect properties many of which are listed. She further noted that over-sized lorries travelling east are effectively breaking the law and enforcement is required.

Cllr Lamont: Lorries and signage are separate issues.

#### 6b Received:

An oral report from County Cllr Robert Lindsay advising the status of the 20mph scheme explaining that whilst it does not cover every road in the village and that he would be in favour of further coverage that it 'is probably the best we can get from Highways – given the council's current restrictive policy on 20mph speed limits. These criteria insist that lots of money is spent on kerb build outs in areas that do not comply with their strict criteria about average speeds. So wider 20mph would need more money from the community. As a rough idea, the Bildeston 20mph scheme is going to cost £28k with just signs and no build outs (plus £8k design costs)'.

#### 6c Received:

An oral report from District Cllr Maybury explaining that, in her opinion, little is currently going on at Babergh Council as Cabinet has not yet determined its priorities. She had walked the village with Public Realm and had spoken with the Chief Enforcement Office who had explained that tickets were not being issued as the lines are too faded. She is aware of the Meadow Close Play Area issues and has mentioned this to Babergh Public Realm and written in support of the CIL bid for Generator hook up.

#### 6d Received:

An oral report from District Councillor Clover reporting the installation of four extra EV charge points in the Church St Car Park.

#### Parish Councillors remarks:

The Chair noted that conversion of 4 car parking spaces without consultation with the Parish Council was regrettable and that this would not help to alleviate the on-street parking stress the village experiences on a regular basis. It was also deeply unfortunate that 4 further EV points had been installed without any evidence of need, particularly in view of the suspension of the Parish Council owned EV points in Prentice Street and Water Street because of the high cost of energy.

#### 7. Chairman's Announcements

The Chairman reported:

- A) The vintage VW weekend had been a great success and she wished to thank many for welcoming it to the village Particular thanks are due to John Heeks for setting out traffic cones in Market Place and Andrew Butcher for managing parking restrictions on Lower Road and access to 1st Meadow. Public donations of £50 were collected at 1st Meadow.
- B) She had written to the Chief Executive of Babergh Council with respect to the absence of communication in advance to the Council as to the replacement of gas supply pipes by Cadent in Butfield, Sudbury Road, Meadow Close and Tenterpiece and the conversion of 4 car parking spaces to EV charge points in The Cock Inn Car Park. It was acknowledged that some communication had been made by Babergh Officers and Cadent to those most directly effected by the gas works but residents, not directly effected, had been un-necessarily distressed. A response is awaited.
- C) She had considered the concerns raised by a Member of the Public at the May 18 meeting as to the lack of dropped kerbs and had great sympathy with the concerns raised adding that this will be considered further.
- D) It had now been established that Babergh Council in 2018 gave permission to the allotments and the car parking for them being across the railway walk from The Paddocks development. Whilst extremely regrettable the legal position was now clear.
- E) That in response to comments made in various for concerning bio-diversity measures the Council will work with Babergh DC to draw up a bio-diversity plan for growing season 2024.
- F) She had reported five potholes by the church using the on-line reporting tool.

#### 8. LNP2 Regulation 16 Consultation

#### Received:

The report prepared by LNP Revision Group Leader.

# Noted from the Report:

That 'Regulation 16' consultation on the draft revised Lavenham Neighbourhood Plan commenced on Monday 3rd July, and will end at 4pm on Friday 18th August.

BDC will now look to appoint an examiner and liaise with the Parish Council over choice.

Examination once started could take two to three months. It will be conducted in public, which means all communications will be published on a dedicated web page on the BDC website

If minded to make a significant change, the examiner will normally run a scenario with the Parish Council as to how this can best be done.

Before the end of examination, there will be a Fact Check report. This is a draft report issued by the examiner inviting BDC and the Parish Council to correct factual errors only. This will be followed by the actual final report.

Following the close of the examination, the Parish Council and BDC are expected to work together to prepare a Neighbourhood Plan Referendum. This is for local voters to decide whether LNP2 should be adopted in place of the (current) 2016 Plan.

#### Discussion:

Cllr Ranzetta asked exactly what the referendum question will be. Cllr Mitchell responded that she would research and report back.

Cllr Mitchell thanked the LNP group for its work and thanked the Clerk for publicising the Regulation 16 Consultation on the Notice Boards and Parish Council website.

#### 9. Planning

**Received**: A report and recommendations from the Planning Group.

a) DC/23/02303 | Notification of Works to Trees in a Conservation Area, 45 And 46 High Street Lavenham Sudbury Suffolk CO10 9PY. Fell 1No. Ash (T1), Fell 1No. Pare (T1). Comments by 7th July.

Councillors noted the need to protect trees.

**Motion**: This application should be approved subject to the planting nearby of two replacement, indigenous, trees'.

b) DC/23/02594 – Application for consent to carry out works to tree(s) protected by a Tree Preservation Order. The Old Rectory, Church Street, Lavenham, Sudbury Suffolk CO10 9SA Fell 1 No. Holm Oak (T1) Comments by 7th July.

Motion 'Council considers that this application be refused. Every effort should be made to save the tree. In the event that felling is the only option, we strongly urge the planting of another tree within the garden. The Parish Council considers that felling should only take place as an absolute last option after a period of observation has taken place'.

Motions 9a and 9b taken together

Proposed: Cllr Ranzetta. Seconded: Cllr Muckian

**Decision:** Approved with no votes against and no abstentions.

c) DC/23/02828 – Application for works to tree(s) in a conservation area. The Little House, Lady Street, Lavenham, Sudbury Suffolk CO10 9RA. Fell 1 No. Gleditsia (T1) Comments by 7th July

Councillors noted that this tree appears to be substantially diseased.

Motion 'Council recommends that this application be approved'

Proposed: Cllr Ranzetta. Seconded: Cllr Muckian.

**Decision:** Approved with six votes for and two against.

d) DC/23/02493 – Application for Planning Permission. The Bays, Bears Lane, Lavenham, Sudbury Suffolk CO10 9RT Householder Application - Remodel bungalow, upgrade building fabric and erect front link extension to ancillary building. Comments by 7th July

Cllr Lamont displayed on screen the key documents and drawings. Councillors commented that the proposal is an improvement on the current building.

Motion: Council recommends that this application be approved.

Proposed: Cllr Ranzetta. Seconded: Cllr Falconer

**Decision:** Approved unanimously by those Councillors present except Cllr Muckian who neither spoke or voted.

e) DC/23/02450 – Application for Planning Permission. Carramore, Sudbury Road, Lavenham, Sudbury Suffolk CO10 9SB. Householder Application - Erection of first floor side and single storey rear extensions. Comments by 7th July.

#### Reported:

The Planning Group had considered the drawings submitted on May 24th and May 30<sup>th</sup> and had visited the site. The drawings showed two upper floor extensions to existing ground floor garages at both the north and south of the main building and reported:

'The north first floor extension has two issues. The rear window overlooks the properties at 1 and 3 The Glebe. The 1st floor pitch roof extension will cast a shadow over the rear of 1 The Glebe during the day as it is directly to the south of this neighbouring property. This affects their amenities. Hence, it is contrary to policy D3 of the Lavenham Neighbourhood Plan 2016. The proposal as presented represents an over-massing of the plot as seen from the street. Recommend Refusal'.

In presenting the Planning Group recommendations, Cllr Lamont stated that following a site inspection, in his opinion, the rear window overlooking issue was not as significant as anticipated.

Members of the Public drew to the attention of the Chair that the Babergh Planning portal had been updated on 4th July and was now showing drawings that did not include a 2nd floor extension on the southern side.

Cllr Lamont enquired of the Clerk whether revised drawings has been forwarded by the Planning Authority. The Clerk advised that no revised drawings had been received either in hard copy, or email notification.

The Chair guided that she would make enquiries with the Planning Authority about this lapse in process and sought consensus from Councillors to proceed with consideration of the application of the basis of drawings submitted on 24th and 30th May AND 4th July in order to expedite a conclusion on this application.

Cllr Lamont displayed all the drawings and spoke to each drawing in turn, highlighting the changes from one set to the other. Following Cllr Lamont's presentation, the Chair invited each Councillor to offer their views.

#### **Parish Councillor Views:**

Cllr Ranzetta commented that the proposal does not enhance the character of the village, is an over-development of the plot and is out of scale to the neighbouring buildings and will lead to loss of light to neighbouring properties. She made reference to planning policies that demonstrate this proposal is not in keeping with the style and character of Lavenham. Specifically, Cllr Ranzetta drew attention to D1 LNP 2016, draft LNP2 LAV 38 5b and LP03 of the draft Joint Local Plan.

A paper highlighting the relevant policies was put on screen for all Councillors to refer to. This stated:

#### LNP Policy D1

"Outside the Conservation area this means contributing positively to the street scene....... and by being of a height **and scale** that is in keeping with neighbouring buildings"

<u>LNP2 Regulation 16 version Page 90 - Policy LAV 38: Design and character</u>
"Care should be taken to ensure new dwellings or residential extensions do not result in over development of individual plots or out of scale in relation to neighbouring buildings"

This plan is not made but will carry some weight.

The Draft Joint Plan was modified in 2023 but no apparent changes have been made to LP03 Policy LP03 - Residential Extensions and Conversions 1) Proposals for development within the

curtilage of existing dwellings, extensions to existing dwellings or conversions within residential dwelling curtilage may be permitted providing they;

- a) Are in keeping with the size, scale, mass, design and materials of the existing dwelling and wider setting.
- b) Will not result in over-development of the plot or within the curtilage or create an incongruous impact. The cumulative effects of a number of extensions or conversions to the existing dwelling or dwelling curtilage will be regarded as a material consideration.

Cllr Falconer explained that in her opinion it was not a little extension in either the 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> set of drawings and was over-development.

Cllr Morrey drew attention to the over-shadowing effect of the proposals.

Cllrs Bourne and Muckian noted that despite a reduction in the second drawings submitted 4<sup>th</sup> July, it is still too large and Cllr Domoney concurred with this view.

**Motion:** Council recommends that this application be refused.

**Proposed**: Cllr Falconer **Seconded**: Cllr Ranzetta.

**Decision**: Approved with no votes against and no abstentions.

### 10. Clerk/RFO Report

10.a Draft Accounts for the month ended 31 May 2023.

#### Received:

The Clerk displayed and explained the Income and Expenditure Account, Balance Sheet and Reserves position commenting that there were no significant variances to expenditure and that the significant variance to Income was the receipt of the variable and unbudgeted Car Parking//Toilets donations.

#### **Parish Councillors Discussion:**

Cllr Lamont asked as to the earmarking of funds for the replacement of the LED streetlights. The Clerk explained that at all levels of government except Parish Councils the requirement is to depreciate such items so that there is not a write down of the items when they are replaced. The earmark ensures that General Reserves are not overstated.

**Motion:** to approve Draft Accounts for the month ended 31 May 2023.

**Proposed:** Cllr Falconer **Seconded**: Cllr Ranzetta

**Decision:** Approved with no votes against and no abstentions.

10.b Receipts and Payments for the month ended 31 May 2023.

#### Received:

A report listing the receipts of £8,263.87 and payments £16,975.32 in the month

Motion: To approve Receipts and Payments for the month ended 31 May 2023.

**Proposed**: Cllr Ranzetta **Seconded**: Cllr Muckian

**Decision:** Approved with no votes against and no abstentions.

# 10.c Progress update of Management Matters

The Clerk has now assumed responsibility for updating the website and thanked Cllr Morrey for her tuition. The new bins for the Market Place were now scheduled for installation on July 19. A programme of bench cleaning and bin cleaning is now underway

#### 10.d Criteria for use of Restricted Reserves.

**Received**: A report setting out details of restricted reserves. The Council has two funds 'Restricted Reserves' which it must be careful to use as the Donors expect. These are in addition to the Neighbourhood CIL Fund the use of which is controlled by legislation.

Noted: Street Fair Fund

Policy adopted on 13 January 2022 states, that this is a 'ringfenced fund for use by Lavenham Parish Council to support Celebratory Events that benefit the whole village'.

Noted: Lavenham Funds in Trust

This fund has been in place for many years. A report called 'Earmarked Expenditure Revision 2 Feb 2021 CR' dated February 2021 describes this as being for 'Village Sign repaint'. Proposed policy as to the use of this fund is that it shall be used solely for the repair including repaint of the Village Sign.

**Motion:** Council is asked to approve criteria for use of Restricted Reserves.

Proposed: Cllr Bourne Seconded: Cllr Falconer

**Decision:** Approved with no votes against and no abstentions.

10e Council is asked to approve the Complaints Policy and the related Complaints about Councillors policy.

**Received:** A report from the Clerk, outlining a general Complaints Policy covering complaints to the Council from the public about Council employees, Councillors and Council Administration / Procedures and a separate policy specifically addressing the management of a complaint against a Councillor.

**Noted:** That it is essential for the integrity of the Council that these pathways exist and are publicised, highlighting that Complaints about Councillors are handled by the Principal Authority, in our case Babergh District Council.

**Motion:** Council is asked to approve the Complaints Policy and the related Complaints about Councillors policy.

**Proposed:** Cllr Falconer **Seconded**: Cllr Morrey

Decision: Approved with no votes against and no abstentions.

#### 11. Lavenham Football Club

#### Received:

Cllr Mitchell reported that the Lavenham Football club has expanded wonderfully over recent years with around 100 young people regularly engaged in sport. Presently, recycling and general waste in excess of the capacity of the two bins already provided by the Community Council, is kindly being taken by local businesses who also generously sponsor the Club. The cost of a normal household bin emptied fortnightly is £233 per annum. This has been discussed with the Community Council and it has confirmed that they would take responsibility for ordering and managing refuse collection for bins paid for by the Parish Council.

#### **Parish Councillor Discussion:**

Cllr Lamont asked whether the Community Council received rent from the Football Club. Cllr Mitchell replied that they did but that support and partnership of the Parish Council would benefit all.

**Motion:** That the Parish Council sponsors one additional recycling bin at a cost of £233 per annum. Should this prove insufficient, the Council is also asked to approve a further household general waste bin at £290 per annum without the need for reference back to the Council.

Proposed: Cllr Mitchell Seconded: Cllr Bourne

**Decision:** Approved with 1 vote against and no abstentions.

# 12. The Churchyard

#### Reported and Noted:

By the Clerk that Buxus moth caterpillars are infesting the box bushes in the Churchyard and that a first contractor had indicated that treatment would cost approaching £3,000 and would need to be repeated each year. A second contractor has been recommended to the Council, and he has arranged for this contractor to visit the site, assess the situation and recommend a programme of pest control for initial comparative purposes.

# 13. Parish Council Social Media Policy Proposal

#### Received:

A report from Cllr Falconer setting out the need for Council to consider:

- a social media policy
- establishing a social media presence and
- quiding principles on a social media account could be used to support the work of the Council

The report detailed that the Council has traditionally engaged with the Community through public noticeboards and the Lavenham Life magazine. Council is aware that many in the community are left untouched by these forms of communication and that many demographic groups are ignored by this approach.

In recent years it has established a website to inform the public and this has been improved recently. A key method of quickly getting important announcements across is through Social Media. There are over 3,500 registered users of the Lavenham Facebook page. It is not proposed that the Council enter into online debates or arguments about the Council's work but when a straightforward question is asked, the Clerk would be empowered to respond. The Clerk would moderate the account and be supported in this by a Councillor where needed.

The proposed policy also sets out a guide for Councillors' private interaction with Social Media.

#### **Parish Councillor Discussion:**

Cllr Lamont asked as to whether the Council would enter into debate on Social Media and whether the Account would be moderated. The Clerk referred Cllr Lamont to the report.

**Motion:** Council is asked to approve the establishment of a Parish Council Social Media Account and the policy governing its application

**Proposed:** Cllr Falconer **Seconded:** Cllr Morrey

**Decision:** Approved with no votes against and 1 abstention.

# 14. To receive a report from the Traffic Working Party

District Cllr Maybury as Chair of the Traffic Working Party was invited to present this report.

#### Reported:

Cllr Maybury explained the history of the Traffic Working Party and its proposal that an external objective strategic study should be conducted.

The Lavenham Traffic Working Party was set up in 2022. The remit was originally to investigate the perceived static parking issues within the village and provide recommendations to the Parish Council for consideration. However, it became evident that we also needed to consider movement of people and vehicles.

In terms of traffic, the challenge is to find an approach which balances the needs of local businesses and residents as well as encouraging tourism related to the heritage asset of the village (which some businesses also rely on).

The proposed study will include a survey of static traffic, an assessment of parking requirements, review of traffic signage, consideration of pedestrian safety and sustainable transport solutions with the overall aim being to reduce the impact of parking and congestion within the streets and key public spaces to improve the village environment as a whole.

This study should not cost as much as £5,000 but that amount was proposed to ensure that the study was not delayed by the need to come back to Council for additional funding.

Motion: Council is asked to approve the report and award funding of up to £5,000 for an external study to be funded from NCIL

**Proposed:** Cllr Ranzetta **Seconded:** Cllr Muckian

**Decision:** Approved with no votes against and no abstentions.

#### 15. Deferrals

As the meeting had been lengthy the Chair sought consensus and listed items 15, 16 and 17 were deferred to the next meeting due to the late hour. The meeting closed at 10.05pm.

# 16. Date of next meeting

Thursday 3<sup>rd</sup> August, 7.30 pm in the Village Hall.

#### **LAVENHAM PARISH COUNCIL:**

# Agenda Item 8

# Report to Council 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2023

# Development Plan as far as April 2027

- 1. Purpose of a Development Plan
  - 1.1 Planning and delivery of infrastructure can take many years from conception to completion and is commonly a fluid process.
  - 1.2 Each project gives rise to a different benefit, the Development Plan seeks to describe and rank those benefits.
  - 1.3 The delivery of infrastructure requires funding and managerial resources. The Development Plan seeks to ensure that these constraints are fully considered.
- 2. Changes to the Development Plan
  - 2.1 New initiatives not previously aired, are likely to emerge. Those initiatives will be explored and may after due process be added to the Development Plan. Once schemes are completed or withdrawn or no longer considered viable, the Development Plan will be updated. The Development Plan should therefore be viewed as a live document.

Andrew Smith 27 July 2023

**Draft Development Plan: Item 8 August 3 2023** 

# Major Schemes defined as:

These projects, in general, require external funds or very substantial increases in precept.

These schemes mostly emerge from Neighbourhood Plans.

Can be any of new items of infrastructure, refurbishment of existing infrastructure, replacement of existing infrastructure

Frequently require permissions or purchase of land attracting legal or other professional costs

Involve substantial management oversight which may have to be externally sourced.

Costs above £30,000 for example

Major Schemes/Cost	Status	Reason for doing it	Reasons for not doing it	Next steps	Priority Score 1-6 (6 is high)
Lorry Management A1141 incl Lorry Signage £30,000	Restricted lorry traffic in place west to east. No signage installed	Non-observance of traffic restriction west to east. Damage to Buildings, Pollution, Damage to drains.	None	Explore enforcement of current rules with CC and Police.	
Water Street build- outs est £120,000	Permission granted substantial funds already spent	To complete task in line with heritage surroundings.	Costs Effectiveness of original scheme	Examine CCTV to consider whether instreet signage is appropriate.	
20 mph est £25,000 to £50,000	Zone drawn	To complete the task	Inadequate coverage	Public engagement	
Allotments £100,000	Hartog Hutton land handover. PC need to take a view on this	To consider supply of allotment in line with legal duties. CIL bid is possible	Lack of land but ideas emerging	Evidence of demand	
Green Willows	Anglian Water declined easement	Public safety		Community action	
footpath. Cost	for off road-side option	Public expectation		with Anglian Water /	
Unknown	Deadaida antian not vet avalared	NP and LNP2 policies		Babergh Council /	
	Roadside option not yet explored with Highways	Long-standing proposal		Suffolk County Council	
Tree Planting	Searching for land	To enhance tree canopy			

# Minor Schemes defined as:

Schemes that can be financially supported from Reserves/NCIL.

It is anticipated that this list constantly evolves.

Variable income may also be devoted to minor capital schemes especially those schemes with relevant short implementation periods and do not lead to long-term recurring costs.

New items of infrastructure, refurbishment of existing infrastructure, replacement of existing infrastructure Reasonably straight forward to execute

Costs below £30,000 for example

Minor Schemes	Status	Reason for doing it	Reasons for not doing it	Next steps	Date
Kissing gate replacement £5,000	Approved by Council Quotes received	Protect and maintain village heritage	None	Approve Contractor	Aug 3 Meeting
Traffic Management study £5,000	Approved by Council	To have an objective assessment of traffic matters		Invite quotes	Approved, now with TWP
Bridge Repair 1 <sup>st</sup> Meadow and play equipment	Report of Play area inspection for Council to consider			Review report.	Sep/Oct Meeting
Hedge planting 1 <sup>st</sup> meadow £3,000		To enhance biodiversity opportunity		Confirm planting appropriate over sewer  Formal approval of Council	Sep/Oct Meeting
Telephone Boxes £30,000	Heritage assets in advance state of degradation	Protection of assets Image presented of the village	High cost	Obtain approval to request quotes	Aug 3 Meeting

# **Routine Maintenance defined as:**

Obligations to keep in good order the infrastructure of the village such as cleaning and/or refurbishment of existing infrastructure Must be covered by budgeted fixed income

Routine Maintenance delivered by rolling programme	Reason for doing it
Street bins	To maintain a good appearance of all items for the benefit of residents and visitors
Dog bins	As above
Seating	As above
Tree management	To protect trees
Public	To keep in a good manner for public safety and comfort
conveniences	

NCIL and earmarks bal 30 Jun 23	57,000.00
Howletts Oct 23	14,511.00
Normans Way by Oct 24	41,808.00
Total NCIL and earmarks	
available	113,319.00

#### **LAVENHAM PARISH COUNCIL:**

# Agenda Item 9

# Report to Council 3rd August 2023

# **Small Heritage Fundraising**

- 1. The minutes of April 6 2023 record that 'A motion to agree in principle to establish a Heritage Improvement Fund with a separate Bank Account with the Clerks instructed to draft a draft constitution to administer the fund was proposed by Cllr Mitchell, seconded by Cllr Chick, carried.
- 2. Draft Scheme rules have been prepared detailing:
  - a) How projects are selected
  - b) How much funding the Council will offer
  - c) Banking arrangements
  - d) Acceptance and rejection of Donations
  - e) Procedures in the event that it is not reasonably possible to execute the project, the project become more expensive than originally envisaged or the project fails to attract sufficient donations.

Motion: Council is asked to approve the Scheme Rules for a Small Heritage Fund

Recommended by Clerk to Council: Andrew Smith 30 June 2023

# Agenda Item 9

# Scheme rules with respect to funding small heritage projects

# Background:

The Parish Council invites the Public to donate to the funding of small heritage projects and is hugely appreciative of their support.

The purpose of these rules is to document how the Scheme will operate.

# **Proposed Projects:**

The Projects to which the Public will be invited to contribute will be determined by the Council at its public monthly meetings. Members of the public are invited to suggest Projects to the Council. Suggestions are very much welcomed.

Council will determine to what extent it will match the Donations to each Project.

Council has the power to vary these matchings (for example as the financial position of the council changes) at its public monthly meetings.

Donations made by the Public will be held in a separate Bank Account and will be separately analysed in the Balance Sheet of the Council.

#### Donations:

Donations can be refused by the Council should the Parish Council decide that it is not appropriate to accept the Donation. The Council will consider all factors in making such a decision including the conditions imposed by the Donor, the identity of the Donor, the sources of the money. The Donor has no right of appeal as to the decision of the Council.

Once donations have been received up to the amount requested from the General Public then the Council will use its best endeavours to execute the project. Should further donations be received then they will be applied to the next project.

If in the opinion of the Council it is not able to reasonably execute the project (reasons to include legal prohibitions, unreasonable increase in cost or other complications) then Council has the right to cancel the project and apply the Donations to the next project.

Should funds be required to complete the project beyond the amount originally envisaged then the Council may at its discretion use Council Funds to make the shortfall, cancel the project applying the Donations to the next project or use Donations to the next project to make up the shortfall.

Should a Project not obtain sufficient Donations, within a reasonable time period as determined by the Council, to allow it to go ahead then Council may at its discretion use Council Funds to make the shortfall or cancel the project applying the Donations to the next project.

Refunds of Donations are not expected to be made, all refunds are made at the discretion of the Council and the Donor has no right of appeal as to the decision of the Council.

# **Register of Donations:**

The Council will maintain a list of Donations including any conditions attached to Donations which have been accepted by the Council.

# Winding up of the Fund:

The Council may at its discretion decide to discontinue the Fund. Should it do so the Council will donate the funds to an organisation(s) who will use the funds, in the reasonable opinion, of the Council, in the way(s) that the Donors intended.

#### **LAVENHAM PARISH COUNCIL:**

# Agenda Item 10

# Report to Council 3rd August 2023

# **Kissing Gate Quotation**

1 At the Council meeting in 6 April 2023 I presented 3 quotes:

Contractor A estimated: £5,044.00 Contractor B estimated: £4,368.00 Contractor C estimated: £3,950.00

I commented that Contractor A still has the templates for the pales from the previously unsuccessful bid, that Contractor B would not accept a retainer against workmanship on the grounds that at the time of installing the gates onto Church Street, the previous Council waived it and post completion work was completed to the Council's full satisfaction and that she hesitated to recommend Contractor C to the Council as he has declined to meet us on site, although he has received the specification of works drawn up by the Parish Council, and has not discussed the plans any further.

- 2 The minutes of 6 April 2023 record that 'all councillors agreed that retention was important. Cllr Morrey agreed to discuss further with Contractor B and report to next meeting'.
- 3. I have spoken to Contractors A and B and they have accepted our suggestion of adding the 2.5% retention to the agreed contract price and then to withhold it until any post-completion rectifications have been satisfactorily carried out.

# 4. Proposal

That the Parish Council is asked to accept the preferred quotation B

**Proposed: Councillor Mary Morrey** 

# **LAVENHAM PARISH COUNCIL:**

# Agenda Item 11

# Report to Council 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2023

# Proposal to renovate the two listed telephone boxes

- 1. Renovation of the Grade 2 listed telephone boxes has proven to be more complex than previously thought, the work must be done off-site with the lead-based paint a particular issue.
- 2. A limited number of specialist repairers exist all of whom have long waiting lists.
- 3. Paintwork will need to be completed with BS538 paint and safety glass to standard BS 6262.
- 4. Contractors will need to detail the preparation measures that the Council would need to fulfil prior to renovation commencing, timescales for completion of the renovation and guarantees offered as the completed work

# 5. Proposal

Council is asked to issue invitations to quote for the renovation.

# Agenda Item 12: Planning Applications for consideration at LPC meeting on 3rd August 2023

# DC/23/02224 - APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

Land North East of Briarside , Bridge Street , Lavenham , Sudbury CO10 9SH Full Application - Erection of a detached two storey dwelling and ancillary outbuilding utilising existing vehicular access.

Comments by 18<sup>th</sup> July – extension obtained until 4<sup>th</sup> August.

Located in place of the old, demolished, Police station.

Planning application B-93-00763 gave planning permission on the same site for a larger building. Access is already formed and a gateway is in place

Materials & features of the properties are Red Brick Victorian Style to complement the local street scene/properties.

Building is set will back from the road.

No objection from any of the Consultees or Neighbours

It is within the Built-Up Area boundary and outside the Conservation Area.

It is in keeping with Policy H1 of the 2016 Neighbourhood Plan.

# **Recommend Approval**

#### DC/23/03012 - APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

1 Green Willows, Lavenham, Sudbury, Suffolk CO10 9SP

Householder Application - Erection of a garage/storage building (re-submission and amended scheme to DC/23/00424)

Comments by 18<sup>th</sup> July – extension obtained until 4<sup>th</sup> August 2023.

This application was previously refused under application DC/23/00424, see note.

'The proposed development, by virtue of its unacceptable size, scale and positioning on the site is considered to appear overly dominant and detrimentally impact the appearance of the site and surrounding area. The proposal would be contrary to policy CN01 of the Babergh Local Plan 2006 and paragraphs 8, 126 and 130 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2022, and policy D1 of the Lavenham Neighbourhood Plan 2016.

The revised proposal is in accordance with the Permitted Development Rights:

- eaves height to 2.5 metres or less
- and the overall height cannot be more than 4 metres for a dual pitched roof

# **Recommend Approval as it is Permitted Development**

#### DC/23/03091 - APPLICATION FOR WORKS TO TREE(S) IN A CONSERVATION AREA

River Cottage, Lower Road, Lavenham, Sudbury Suffolk CO10 9QJ

Notification of Works to Trees in a Conservation Area - Reduce north east section of the crown of 1No. Beech (T 1) by up to 3m. Reduce 1 No. Birch (T2) to previous pruning point or slightly beyond if decay is discovered, overall reduction of 1-2m width and height all round Comments by 24<sup>th</sup> July – extension obtained until 4<sup>th</sup> August 2023.

Routine maintenance of trees.

### **Recommend Approval**

# DC/23/03085 - APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

68 Church Street, Lavenham, Sudbury, Suffolk CO10 9QT Householder Application - Erection of garden room and shed (following demolition of garage) Comments by 24<sup>th</sup> July – extension obtained until 4<sup>th</sup> August.

Garden room replaces concrete prefabricated garage thereby improving the garden. Not visible from the road or adjacent properties.

Shed is permitted development and out of site behind a wall & the new garden room.

# Recommend approval

# DC/23/03330 - APPLICATION FOR WORKS TO TREE(S) IN A CONSERVATION AREA

Arundel House, Shilling Street, Lavenham, Sudbury Suffolk CO10 9RH Notification of Works to Trees in a Conservation Area - Thin Crown and reduce Crown of 1 No. Cherry (T1) by 30%, Fell 1 No. Yew (T2), Reduce Crown of 1 No. Oak (T3) by up to 30%. Reduce Crown of 1 No. Silver Birch (T4) by up to 30%, Reduce Crown of 1 No. Oak (T5) by up to 30% and Reshape and reduce 1 No. Bay (T6) by up to 30% Comments by 7<sup>th</sup> August

This is routine maintenance of trees T1, T3, T4, T5, T6 and we would **recommend approval**.

There is no justification in the application for felling the T2 Yew tree, we recommend pruning. **Recommend Refusal** 

# DC/23/03467 | APPLICATION FOR WORKS TO A TREE IN A CONSERVATION AREA

The Guildhall Of Corpus Christi Market Place Lavenham Sudbury Suffolk CO10 9QZ Application for works to a tree in a Conservation Area - Works to 1No Hazel tree in the south east corner of the gardens, cut back large branches to the main trunk just above where there is plenty of fresh epicormic growth and bring the weight back over the main stem and encourage the new growth

Comments by 14th August

Necessary maintenance

# **Recommend Approval**

# DC/23/03074 | APPLICATION FOR LISTED BUILDING CONSENT

Pie Cottage 9 High Street Lavenham Sudbury Suffolk CO10 9PR

Removal of existing modern timber window and secondary glazing and replace with a new double glazed side hung double opening casement window to match the detail of the adjacent window. Comments by 15<sup>th</sup> August

For decision at the meeting

	April Actual Mth	May Actual Mth	Jun Actual Mth	Jun Actual YTD	Jun Budget YTD	Favourable/( Adverse)	Notes
Precept	9,175.00	9,175.00	9,175.00	27,525.00	27,525.00	0.00	Received in April at budgeted amount
Babergh Cleansing Grant	891.67	891.66	1,061.33	2,844.66	2,675.00	169.66	Babergh has given a small increase tied to increase in Minimum Wage
Fixed Income	10,066.67	10,066.66	10,236.33	30,369.66	30,200.00	169.66	
Burial Fees	70.00	3,300.00	870.00	4,240.00	1,500.00	2,740.00	Variable income dependent on number of deaths, whole year income was £6,000 in 2022/23.
Car Park and Toilet Donations	1,974.15	1,734.85	1,824.10	5,533.10	0.00	5,533.10	Cash £928, Card £738, Card and Cash Accruals cf £532 and £100 less bf £474
Other Donations	0.00	407.63	0.00	407.63	0.00	407.63	Donations re retirement of Parish Clerk
EV Charging Income	100.00	100.00	50.00	250.00	300.00	-50.00	Accrued, last actual is to Mar 23
Interest Received	0.00	748.23	250.00	998.23	0.00	998.23	£748.23 received June 5. Not budgeted as budget prepared prior to recent increases in interest rates.
Variable Income	2,144.15	6,290.71	2,994.10	11,428.96	1,800.00	9,628.96	Car Parking and Interest will continue to be received. Other items are one-offs and may be less than anticipated in future months.
Total Income	12,210.82	16,357.37	13,230.43	41,798.62	32,000.00	9,798.62	
Management Costs	5,186.03	4,375.79	3,751.40	13,313.22	13,625.00	311.78	YTD costs are Payroll, audit accruals, Nupremis Planning Consultancy re Bury Rd £647 and Nest Pension Scheme Set up £495
Office costs	1,371.74	542.68	1,210.24	3,124.65	2,987.50	-137.15	Filing Cabinet purchase and .gov installation costs incurred in mth
Costs of Democracy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	No Costs
Street Cleaning and Green Maintenance	2,311.87	2,493.79	2,601.87	7,407.52	7,938.75	531.23	Little spent so far on tree maint', main cost is £2,300 per mth to JPP Landscapes of which £1,300 is street cleaning and £1,000 is Green Maint.
Public Realm	878.67	853.67	1,573.67	3,306.02	3,880.00	573.98	Energy savings £600 and corrected accounting re PWLB (saving £1200) offset by Bench cleaning costs and various minor repair cost £1200.
Toilet Costs	957.11	1,860.36	1,706.40	4,523.86	4,625.00	101.14	Prentice St Non EV Energy refund £432 re estimated readings is key driver in variance, main cost is £800/mth for toilet cleaning and consumables.
Misc	135.00	1,183.78	135.00	1,453.78	0.00	-1,453.78	Cost of leaving do for Parish Clerk
Community Events	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	No Costs
EV Costs	310.03	77.51	78.08	465.62	300.00	-165.62	£288 Anglia Charging Backdated invoice relating to 2022/2023 received May 2023.
Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	625.00	625.00	Contingency
Total Costs	11,150.44	11,387.57	11,056.65	33,594.67	33,981.25	386.58	
Surplus/(Deficit)	1,060.38	4,969.80	2,173.77	8,203.95	-1,981.25	10,185.20	

		Mar 23	Jun 23	Increase/(decrease)	Notes
Fixed Assets	Cost	135,407.90	139,349.76	3,941.86	Meadow Close Footpath Light and new bins, each £2k.
	Accumulated Depreciation	0.00	0.00	0.00	No depreciation per Parish Accounting rules.
	Net Book Value	135,407.90	139,349.76	3,941.86	
Debtors		0.00	0.00	0.00	None
Accrued Income		404.27	4,026.66	3,622.39	Babergh Cleaning Grant 3 months is most of this.
VAT Refunds		1,585.76	2,724.78	1,139.02	Purchase and speed of HMRC claim processing dependent
		1,990.03	6,751.44	4,761.41	
Cash at Bank	Bus Prem	325,883.44	368,570.99		
cusii at Baiik	Current Acc	1,971.91	10,519.77		Key drivers are £15k NCIL received and Precept Jul to Sep £28k
	Petty Cash	110.00	0.00		already received. Petty Cash money has been banked and Petty
	retty cush	327,965.35	379,090.76	51,125.41	Cash tin closed.
Trade Creditors		0.00	-15,501.07	15,501.07	Traffic Survey £10k is main creditor
Accruals		-9,726.01	-13,924.05	4,198.04	Business Rates accrual is a key driver
Deferred Income		0.00	-27,525.00	27,525.00	Precept Jul to Sep already received
Lights Creditor		-135,407.90	-135,407.90	0.00	
		-145,133.91	-192,358.02	47,224.11	
Laama		70 744 00	70 744 00	0.00	No change
Loans		-78,744.89	-78,744.89	0.00	No change
Net Assets		241,484.48	254,089.05	12,604.57	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
General Funds		143,776.98	151,399.24	7,622.26	
Ballot Fund		4,800.00	4,800.00	0.00	No change
Public Realm		5,000.00	2,832.13	-2,167.87	Spending on Bins
Number 2 Lady St		3,000.00	3,000.00	0.00	Drawn down in July
NCIL		47,387.04	54,557.26	7,170.22	
Lavenham Funds in	n Trust	1,500.00	1,500.00	0.00	No change
Neighbourhood Pla	an Fund	4,173.51	-96.09	-4,269.60	Spend on final items as estimated hence small balance.
Lighting Sinking Fur	nd	27,081.58	29,831.14	2,749.56	In lieu of depreciation and to avoid overstating General Funds
Street Fair Fund		4,765.37	6,265.37	1,500.00	Loan/Grant repaid in full.
<b>Total Reserves</b>		241,484.48	254,089.05	12,604.57	
Imbalance		0.00	0.00	0.00	

			In lieu dep'n				Coronation	NCIL	Public Realm items	
	B/F	Per I and E	lighting earmark	NCIL Cash received	NCIL Cash Spent	LNP2 Cash Spent	Refund	Correction	Capitalised	C/F
General Funds	143,776.98	8,203.95	-2,749.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,167.87	151,399.24
Ballot Fund	4,800.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4,800.00
Public Realm	5,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2,167.87	2,832.13
Number 2 Lady St	3,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,000.00
NCIL	47,387.04	0.00	0.00	15,288.81	-8,510.20	0.00	0.00	391.61	0.00	54,557.26
Lavenham Funds in Trust	1,500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,500.00
Neighbourhood Plan Fund	4,173.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-4,269.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	-96.09
Lighting Sinking Fund	27,081.58	0.00	2,749.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29,831.14
Street Fair Fund	4,765.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,500.00	0.00	0.00	6,265.37
Total Reserves	241,484.48	8,203.95	0.00	15,288.81	-8,510.20	-4,269.60	1,500.00	391.61	0.00	254,089.05
		C	Capital Road Safety		8,277.30	В	roxap: New Litte	er Bins	1,578.90	

County Washrooms: Retention

 Glasscubes
 130.00

 HJ Lazarus
 165.00

 Out Design
 500.00

 Modicum Planning
 3,434.20

 Project Orange: LNP Printing
 40.40

232.90

8,510.20

4,269.60

Glasdon: New Dog Bins

588.97

2,167.87

Prem	Premium Account	Item 13b: Rece	ipts and Paym	<u>ents</u>
31/05/2023	Balance Brought Forward	370,936.94	Bal B/F	381,038.23
01/06/2023	Transfer to Current Account	-5,000.00	Receipts	6,829.03
05/06/2023	Interest Received	748.23	Payments	-8,776.50
21/06/2023	VAT Refund from HMRC	1,885.82	BAL C/F	379,090.76
30/06/2023	Balance Carried Forward	368,570.99	,	•
		•		379,090.76
30/06/2023	Per Bank Statement	368,570.99		0.00
		0.00		
Current	Current Account			
31/05/2023	Balance Brought Forward	10,101.29		
01/06/2023	Transfer from Deposit Account	5,000.00		
05/06/2023	Supplier Payment: JPB Landscapes	-2,684.58		
05/06/2023	Andrew Smith May Net Wages	-2,149.89		
05/06/2023	Jane Bellward May Net Wages	-909.40		
05/06/2023	Supplier Payment: County Washrooms Retention	-279.48		
05/06/2023	Supplier Payment: Paul Holland	-145.00		
05/06/2023	Supplier Payment: Village Hall Hire	-40.00		
05/06/2023	Supplier Payment: Zoom	-15.59		
05/06/2023	Car Parking Income Card Payments	178.60		
05/06/2023	Supplier Payment: Infinity Cleaning	-781.95		
05/06/2023	Supplier Payment: Tatums Teahouse	-70.00		
05/06/2023	Supplier Payment Heeks and Son	-236.73		
05/06/2023	Supplier Payment; Wendy Butcher	-40.00		
05/06/2023	Supplier Payment: Irene Mitchell	-602.05		
06/06/2023	Car Parking Income Cash Donations	247.00		
06/06/2023	Donation	48.30		
09/06/2023	Supplier Payment: Bisley Office Furniture	-380.00		
12/06/2023	Car Parking Income Cash Donations	185.00		
12/06/2023	Petty Cash Paid in	110.53		
12/06/2023	Car Parking Income Card Payments	209.00		
13/06/2023	Supplier Payment: Glasscubes	-60.00		
13/06/2023	Supplier Payment: BT	-76.91		
15/06/2023	Burial Income	660.00		
16/06/2023	Supplier Payment: British Gas	-56.18		
16/06/2023	Supplier Payment: British Gas	-126.51		
19/06/2023	Car Parking Income Cash Donations	210.00		
19/06/2023	Car Parking Income Card Payments	156.75		
21/06/2023	Burial Income	210.00		
26/06/2023	Car Parking Income Cash Donations	286.00		
26/06/2023	Supplier Payment: Total Energies	-71.42		
26/06/2023	Supplier Payment: BT	-7.73		
26/06/2023	Car Parking Income Card Payments	193.80		
29/06/2023	Refund of Coronation Loan	1,500.00		
30/06/2023	Supplier Payment: Go Cardless	-43.08		
30/06/2023	Balance Carried Forward	10,519.77		
30/06/2023	Per Bank Statement	10,519.77		
, ,		0.00		